



Sharp inequalities for anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from Sasakian space forms

Hülya Aytimur^a, Cihan Özgür^{b,*}

^a Balıkesir University, Department of Mathematics, 10145 Balıkesir, Turkey

^b İzmir Democracy University, Department of Mathematics, 35140 İzmir, Turkey



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 4 February 2021

Received in revised form 3 April 2021

Accepted 8 April 2021

Available online 14 April 2021

MSC:

53C40

53B05

53B15

53C05

53A40

Keywords:

Sasakian space form

Riemannian submersion

Anti-invariant Riemannian submersion

Chen-Ricci inequality

ABSTRACT

We obtain sharp inequalities involving the Ricci curvature and the scalar curvature for anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from Sasakian space forms onto Riemannian manifolds.

© 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

To find relationships between the extrinsic and intrinsic invariants of a submanifold has been very popular problems in the last twenty five years. The first study in this direction was started by B.-Y. Chen in 1993. He established some inequalities between the main extrinsic (the squared mean curvature) and main intrinsic invariants (the scalar curvature and the Ricci curvature, or the delta-invariant $\delta(2)$) of a submanifold in a real space form [6]. In 1999, Chen also established a relation between the Ricci curvature and the squared mean curvature for a submanifold [7]. After that, many papers have been published by various authors in different ambient spaces. In 2011, Chen published a book which consists of all studies in these directions [10]. The topic is still very popular and there are many new papers related to the inequalities which are introduced by Chen. For example see [1], [3], [4], [7], [15], [16], [17], [18], [21] and [23].

Let (M, g) and (B, g') be m and b -dimensional Riemannian manifolds, respectively. A *Riemannian submersion* $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ is a mapping of M onto B such that π has a maximal rank and the differential π_* preserves the lengths of the horizontal vectors [19]. In [8], Chen proved a simple optimal relationship between Riemannian submersions and minimal immersions. In [9], Chen considered the equality case of the inequality obtained in [8]. In [2], Alegre, Chen and Munteanu established a

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: hulya.aytimur@balikesir.edu.tr (H. Aytimur), cihan.ozgur@idu.edu.tr (C. Özgür).

sharp relationship between the δ -invariants and Riemannian submersions with totally geodesic fibers. In [22], Şahin introduced anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds onto Riemannian manifolds. In [13], Küpeli, Murathan and in [14], Lee introduced anti-invariant submersions from Sasakian manifolds. In [12], Gülbahar, Meriç and Kılıç obtained sharp inequalities involving the Ricci curvature for invariant Riemannian submersions.

Motivated by the above studies, in the present study, we consider anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from Sasakian manifolds onto Riemannian manifolds. We obtain sharp inequalities involving the Ricci curvature and the scalar curvature.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give a brief introduction about Sasakian manifolds and submersions. We give some lemmas which will be used in Section 3 and Section 4. In Section 3, we obtain some inequalities involving the Ricci curvature and the scalar curvature on the vertical and horizontal distributions for anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from Sasakian space forms. The equality cases are also discussed. In Section 4, we prove Chen-Ricci inequalities on the vertical and horizontal distributions for anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from Sasakian space forms. We find relationships between the intrinsic and extrinsic invariants using fundamental tensors. The equality cases are also considered.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ be a Riemannian submersion. We put $\dim M = 2m + 1$ and $\dim B = b$. For $x \in B$, Riemannian submanifold $\pi^{-1}(x)$ with the induced metric \bar{g} is called a *fiber* and denoted by \bar{M} . A vector field on M is called *vertical*, if it is tangent to fibers and *horizontal*, if it is orthogonal to fibers. We notice that the dimension of each fiber is always $(2m + 1 - b) = r$ and dimension of the horizontal distribution is $b = (2m + 1 - r)$. In the tangent bundle TM of M , the vertical and horizontal distributions of M are denoted by $\mathcal{V}(M)$ and $\mathcal{H}(M)$, respectively. We call a vector field X on M *projectable*, if there exists a vector field X_* on B such that $\pi_*(X_p) = X_{*\pi(p)}$ for each $p \in M$. In this case, we call that X and X_* are π -related. A vector field X on M is called *basic*, if it is projectable and horizontal ([19] and [20]). For each $p \in M$ the vertical and horizontal spaces in T_pM are denoted by $\mathcal{V}_p(M)$ and $\mathcal{H}_p(M)$, respectively.

The tensor fields T and A of type $(1, 2)$ are defined by

$$T_E F = h\nabla_{\mathcal{V}E} \mathcal{V}F + \mathcal{V}\nabla_{\mathcal{V}E} hF$$

and

$$A_E F = h\nabla_{hE} \mathcal{V}F + \mathcal{V}\nabla_{hE} hF,$$

respectively.

Denote by R, R', \widehat{R} and R^* the Riemannian curvature tensors of Riemannian manifolds M, B , the vertical distribution \mathcal{V} and the horizontal distribution \mathcal{H} , respectively. Then the Gauss-Codazzi type equations are given by

$$R(U, V, F, W) = \widehat{R}(U, V, F, W) + g(T_U W, T_V F) - g(T_V W, T_U F), \tag{2.1}$$

$$R(X, Y, Z, H) = R^*(X, Y, Z, H) - 2g(A_X Y, A_Z H) + g(A_Y Z, A_X H) - (A_X Z, A_Y H), \tag{2.2}$$

$$R(X, V, Y, W) = g((\nabla_X T)(V, W), Y) + g((\nabla_V A)(X, Y), W) - g(T_V X, T_W Y) + g(A_Y W, A_X V), \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\pi_*(R^*(X, Y)Z) = R'(\pi_*X, \pi_*Y)\pi_*Z$$

for any $X, Y, Z, H \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ and $U, V, F, W \in \mathcal{V}(M)$ [19].

Moreover, the mean curvature vector field H of any fiber of Riemannian submersion π is given by

$$H = rN, \quad N = \sum_{j=1}^r T_{U_j} U_j,$$

where $\{U_1, \dots, U_r\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the vertical distribution \mathcal{V} . Furthermore, π has totally geodesic fibers if T vanishes on $\mathcal{H}(M)$ and $\mathcal{V}(M)$ [19].

Now we give the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.1. [11] *Let (M, g) and (B, g') be Riemannian manifolds admitting a Riemannian submersion $\pi : M \rightarrow B$. For $E, F, G \in TM$, we have*

$$g(T_E F, G) = -g(F, T_E G),$$

$$g(A_E F, G) = -g(F, A_E G).$$

That is, A_E and T_E are anti-symmetric with respect to g .

Lemma 2.2. [11] Let (M, g) and (B, g') be Riemannian manifolds admitting a Riemannian submersion $\pi : M \rightarrow B$.

(i) For $U, V \in \mathcal{V}(M)$,

$$T_U V = T_V U;$$

(ii) For $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}(M)$, $A_X Y = -A_Y X$.

For more details for Riemannian submersions see also [24].

Let (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) be a $(2m + 1)$ -dimensional contact metric manifold. If in a contact metric manifold,

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X, \quad (\nabla_X \phi) Y = g(X, Y) \xi - \eta(Y) X,$$

then $(M, \nabla, g, \phi, \xi, \eta)$ is called a *Sasakian manifold* [5], where ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of g . A plane section π in TM is called a ϕ -section, if it is spanned by X and ϕX , where X is a unit tangent vector field orthogonal to ξ . The sectional curvature of a ϕ -section is called a ϕ -sectional curvature. A Sasakian manifold with constant ϕ -sectional curvature c is said to be a *Sasakian space form* [5] and is denoted by $M(c)$. The curvature tensor R of $M(c)$ is expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z = & \frac{c+3}{4} [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] + \frac{c-1}{4} [\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y \\ & - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X \\ & - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Definition 2.1. [13] Let $(M, \nabla, g, \phi, \xi, \eta)$ be a Sasakian manifold and (B, g') a Riemannian manifold. A Riemannian submersion $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ is called anti-invariant, if $\mathcal{V}(M)$ is anti-invariant with respect to ϕ , i.e. $\phi(\mathcal{V}(M)) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(M)$.

Let $\pi : (M, \nabla, g, \phi, \xi, \eta) \rightarrow (B, g')$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian manifold $(M, \nabla, g, \phi, \xi, \eta)$ to a Riemannian manifold (B, g') . From Definition 2.1, we have $\phi(\mathcal{V}(M)) \cap \mathcal{H}(M) \neq \{0\}$. We denote the complementary orthogonal distribution to $\phi(\mathcal{V}(M))$ in $\mathcal{H}(M)$ by μ . Then we have

$$\mathcal{H}(M) = \phi(\mathcal{V}(M)) \oplus \mu.$$

Suppose that ξ is vertical. It is easy to see that μ is an invariant distribution of $\mathcal{H}(M)$ under the endomorphism ϕ . Thus for $X \in \mathcal{H}(M)$, we write

$$\phi X = BX + CX,$$

where $BX \in \mathcal{V}(M)$ and $CX \in \chi(\mu)$ [13].

Suppose that ξ is horizontal. It is easy to see that $\mu = \phi\mu \oplus \{\xi\}$. Thus for $X \in \mathcal{H}(M)$, we write

$$\phi X = BX + CX,$$

where $BX \in \mathcal{V}(M)$ and $CX \in \chi(\mu)$ [13].

Lemma 2.3. [13] Let $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian manifold $(M, \nabla, g, \phi, \xi, \eta)$ to a Riemannian manifold (B, g') .

(i) If ξ is vertical, then $C^2 X = -X - \phi BX$;

(ii) If ξ is horizontal, then $C^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi - \phi BX$.

Example 2.1. [5] Let us take $M = \mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$ with the standard coordinate functions $(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m, z)$, the contact structure $\eta = \frac{1}{2}(dz - \sum_{i=1}^m y_i dx_i)$, the characteristic vector field $\xi = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ and the tensor field ϕ given by

$$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \delta_{ij} & 0 \\ -\delta_{ij} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_j & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The Riemannian metric is $g = \eta \otimes \eta + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^m ((dx_i)^2 + (dy_i)^2)$. Then $(M^{2m+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is a Sasakian space form with constant ϕ -sectional curvature $c = -3$ and it is denoted by $\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}(-3)$. The vector fields

$$E_i = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \quad E_{i+m} = \phi X_i = 2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad \xi = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial z},$$

form a g -orthonormal basis for the contact metric structure.

Example 2.2. [13] We consider $M = \mathbb{R}^5(-3)$ with the structure given in Example 2.1. The Riemannian metric $g_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ is given by

$$g_{\mathbb{R}^2} = \frac{1}{8} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

on \mathbb{R}^2 . Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^5(-3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a map defined by

$$\pi(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z) = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{V}(M) = sp\{V_1 = E_1 - E_3, V_2 = E_2 - E_4, V_3 = E_5 = \xi\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}(M) = sp\{H_1 = E_1 + E_3, H_2 = E_2 + E_4\}.$$

So π is a Riemannian submersion. Moreover, $\phi V_1 = H_1, \phi V_2 = H_2, \phi V_3 = 0$ imply that $\phi(\mathcal{V}(M)) = \mathcal{H}(M)$. Hence π is an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion such that ξ is vertical.

Example 2.3. [13] We consider $M = \mathbb{R}^5(-3)$ with the structure given in Example 2.1. Let $N = \mathbb{R}^3 - \{(y_1, y_2, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid y_1^2 + y_2^2 \leq 2\}$. The Riemannian metric tensor g_N is given by

$$g_N = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{y_1 y_2}{2} & -\frac{y_1}{2} \\ \frac{y_1 y_2}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{y_2}{2} \\ -\frac{y_1}{2} & -\frac{y_2}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

on N . Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^5(-3) \rightarrow N$ be a map defined by

$$\pi(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z) = \left(x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \frac{y_1^2}{2} + \frac{y_2^2}{2} + z\right).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{V}(M) = sp\{V_1 = E_1 - E_3, V_2 = E_2 - E_4\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}(M) = sp\{H_1 = E_1 + E_3, H_2 = E_2 + E_4, H_3 = E_5 = \xi\}.$$

So π is a Riemannian submersion. Moreover, $\phi V_1 = H_1, \phi V_2 = H_2$ imply that $\phi(\mathcal{V}(M)) \subset \mathcal{H}(M) = \phi(\mathcal{V}(M)) \oplus \{\xi\}$. Hence π is an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion such that ξ is horizontal.

3. Inequalities for anti-invariant Riemannian submersions

In the present section, we aim to obtain some inequalities involving the Ricci curvature and the scalar curvature on the vertical and horizontal distributions for anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from Sasakian space forms. We shall also consider the equality cases of these inequalities.

Using (2.4) and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{R}(U, V, F, W) &= \frac{c+3}{4} \{g(V, F)g(U, W) - g(U, F)g(V, W)\} \\ &\quad + \frac{c-1}{4} \{\eta(U)\eta(F)g(V, W) - \eta(V)\eta(F)g(U, W)\} \\ &\quad + \eta(V)\eta(W)g(U, F) - \eta(U)\eta(W)g(V, F) + g(\phi V, F)g(\phi U, W) \\ &\quad - g(\phi V, W)g(\phi U, F) - 2g(W, \phi F)g(\phi U, V) \\ &\quad - g(T_U W, T_V F) + g(T_V W, T_U F). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Similarly, from (2.4) and (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 R^*(X, Y, Z, H) &= \frac{c+3}{4} \{g(Y, Z)g(X, H) - g(X, Z)g(Y, H)\} \\
 &+ \frac{c-1}{4} \{\eta(X)\eta(Z)g(Y, H) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)g(X, H)\} \\
 &+ \eta(Y)\eta(H)g(X, Z) - \eta(X)\eta(H)g(Y, Z) + g(\phi Y, Z)g(\phi X, H) \\
 &- g(\phi Y, H)g(\phi X, Z) - 2g(H, \phi Z)g(\phi X, Y) \\
 &+ 2g(A_X Y, A_Z H) - g(A_Y Z, A_X H) + (A_X Z, A_Y H).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Let $(M(c), g), (B, g')$ be a Sasakian space form and a Riemannian manifold, respectively and $\pi : M(c) \rightarrow B$ an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion. Furthermore, for each point $p \in M$, let $\{U_1, \dots, U_r, X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_p M(c)$ such that $\mathcal{V}_p(M) = \text{span}\{U_1, \dots, U_r\}, \mathcal{H}_p(M) = \text{span}\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$.

Case I: Assume that ξ is vertical.

For the vertical distribution, in view of (3.1), since π is anti-invariant and ξ is vertical, with the use of $U_1 = U$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widehat{\text{Ric}}(U) &= \frac{c+3}{4}(r-1)g(U, U) + \frac{c-1}{4} \left\{ (2-r)\eta(U)^2 - g(U, U) \right\} \\
 &- rg(T_U U, H) + \sum_{j=1}^r g(T_{U_j} U, T_U U_j).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the following proposition:

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\pi : M(c) \rightarrow B$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian space form $(M(c), g)$ onto a Riemannian manifold (B, g') such that ξ is vertical. Then*

$$\widehat{\text{Ric}}(U) \geq \frac{c+3}{4}(r-1) - \frac{c-1}{4} \left\{ (r-2)\eta(U)^2 + 1 \right\} - rg(T_U U, H).$$

The equality case of the inequality holds for a unit vertical vector $U \in \mathcal{V}_p(M(c))$ if and only if each fiber is totally geodesic.

Similarly, in view of (3.1), using the symmetry of T , we have

$$2\widehat{\tau} = \frac{c+3}{4}r(r-1) + \frac{c-1}{4}(2-2r) - r^2\|H\|^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^r g(T_{U_i} U_j, T_{U_i} U_j),$$

where $\widehat{\tau} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \widehat{R}(U_i, U_j, U_j, U_i)$. Then we can write

$$2\widehat{\tau} \geq \frac{c+3}{4}r(r-1) - \frac{c-1}{2}(r-1) - r^2\|H\|^2.$$

The equality case of the inequality holds if and only if $T = 0$, which means that each fiber is totally geodesic. Thus we can state the following proposition:

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\pi : M(c) \rightarrow B$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian space form $(M(c), g)$ onto a Riemannian manifold (B, g') such that ξ is vertical. Then*

$$2\widehat{\tau} \geq \frac{c+3}{4}r(r-1) - \frac{c-1}{2}(r-1) - r^2\|H\|^2.$$

The equality case of the inequality holds if and only if each fiber is totally geodesic.

For the horizontal distribution, in view of (3.2), since π is anti-invariant and ξ is vertical, using the anti-symmetry of A , we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\tau^* &= \frac{c+3}{4}n(n-1) \\
 &+ \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left[\frac{3(c-1)}{4}g(CX_i, X_j)g(CX_i, X_j) - 3g(A_{X_i} X_j, A_{X_i} X_j) \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

By the use of Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$2\tau^* = \frac{c+3}{4}n(n-1) + \frac{3}{4}(c-1)(n + \text{tr}(\phi B)) - \sum_{i,j=1}^n 3g(A_{X_i}X_j, A_{X_i}X_j).$$

Then we can write

$$2\tau^* \leq \frac{c+3}{4}n(n-1) + \frac{3}{4}(c-1)(n + \text{tr}(\phi B)), \tag{3.4}$$

where $\tau^* = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} R^*(X_i, X_j, X_j, X_i)$. The equality case of (3.4) holds if and only if $A = 0$, which means that the horizontal distribution is integrable. So we can state the following result:

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\pi : M(c) \rightarrow B$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian space form $(M(c), g)$ onto a Riemannian manifold (B, g') such that ξ is vertical. Then*

$$2\tau^* \leq \frac{c+3}{4}n(n-1) + \frac{3}{4}(c-1)(n + \text{tr}(\phi B)).$$

The equality case of (3.4) holds if and only if $\mathcal{H}(M)$ is integrable.

Case II: Assume that ξ is horizontal.

From (3.1), since π is anti-invariant submersion, after some computations, we have

$$2\widehat{\tau} = \frac{c+3}{4}r(r-1) - r^2\|H\|^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^r g(T_{U_i}U_j, T_{U_i}U_j).$$

Hence we can state the following proposition:

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\pi : M(c) \rightarrow B$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian space form $(M(c), g)$ onto a Riemannian manifold (B, g') such that ξ is horizontal. Then*

$$2\widehat{\tau} \geq \frac{c+3}{4}r(r-1) - r^2\|H\|^2.$$

The equality case of the inequality holds if and only if each fiber is totally geodesic.

For the horizontal distribution, from (3.2), since ξ is horizontal and A is anti-symmetric, after some computations, we have

$$2\tau^* = \frac{c+3}{4}n(n-1) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left[\frac{c-1}{4} \{2 - 2n + 3g(CX_i, X_j)g(CX_i, X_j)\} - 3g(A_{X_i}X_j, A_{X_i}X_j) \right].$$

Then using Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$2\tau^* = \frac{c+3}{4}n(n-1) + \frac{c-1}{4}(3\text{tr}\phi B + n - 1) - \sum_{i,j=1}^n 3g(A_{X_i}X_j, A_{X_i}X_j),$$

where $\tau^* = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} R^*(X_i, X_j, X_j, X_i)$.

So we can state the following result:

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\pi : M(c) \rightarrow B$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian space form $(M(c), g)$ onto a Riemannian manifold (B, g') such that ξ is horizontal. Then*

$$2\tau^* \leq \frac{c+3}{4}n(n-1) + \frac{(c-1)}{4}(3\text{tr}(\phi B) + n - 1).$$

The equality case of the inequality holds if and only if $\mathcal{H}(M)$ is integrable.

4. Chen-Ricci inequalities for anti-invariant Riemannian submersions

In this section, we aim to obtain Chen-Ricci inequality on the vertical and horizontal distributions for anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from a Sasakian space forms onto a Riemannian manifold. The equality cases will be also considered.

Let $(M(c), g)$ be a Sasakian space form and (B, g') a Riemannian manifold. Assume that $\pi : M(c) \rightarrow B$ is an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion and $\{U_1, \dots, U_r, X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_pM(c)$ such that $\mathcal{V}_p(M) = \text{span}\{U_1, \dots, U_r\}$, $\mathcal{H}_p(M) = \text{span}\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$. Now we denote T_{ij}^s by

$$T_{ij}^s = g(T_{U_i}U_j, X_s), \tag{4.1}$$

where $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ and $1 \leq s \leq n$ (see [12]).

Similarly, we denote A_{ij}^α by

$$A_{ij}^\alpha = g(A_{X_i}X_j, U_\alpha), \tag{4.2}$$

where $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $1 \leq \alpha \leq r$. From [12], we use

$$\delta(N) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^r g((\nabla_{X_i}T)_{U_k}, X_i). \tag{4.3}$$

Case I: Assume that ξ is vertical.

Then from (3.1), we have

$$2\widehat{\tau} = \frac{c+3}{4}r(r-1) - \frac{c-1}{2}(r-1) - r^2\|H\|^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^r g(T_{U_i}U_j, T_{U_i}U_j).$$

Using (4.1) in the last equality and the symmetry of T , we can write

$$2\widehat{\tau} = \frac{c+3}{4}r(r-1) - \frac{c-1}{2}(r-1) - r^2\|H\|^2 + \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{i,j=1}^r (T_{ij}^s)^2. \tag{4.4}$$

We know from [12] that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{i,j=1}^r (T_{ij}^s)^2 &= \frac{1}{2}r^2\|H\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^n [T_{11}^s - T_{22}^s - \dots - T_{rr}^s]^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^r (T_{1j}^s)^2 - 2 \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} [T_{ii}^s T_{jj}^s - (T_{ij}^s)^2]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

So using (4.5) in (4.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2\widehat{\tau} &= \frac{c+3}{4}r(r-1) - \frac{c-1}{2}(r-1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}r^2\|H\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^n [T_{11}^s - T_{22}^s - \dots - T_{rr}^s]^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^r (T_{1j}^s)^2 - 2 \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} [T_{ii}^s T_{jj}^s - (T_{ij}^s)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Then from the last equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\widehat{\tau} &\geq \frac{c+3}{4}r(r-1) - \frac{c-1}{2}(r-1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}r^2\|H\|^2 - 2 \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} [T_{ii}^s T_{jj}^s - (T_{ij}^s)^2]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Furthermore, from (2.1), taking $U = W = U_i$, $V = F = U_j$ and using (4.1), we can write

$$2 \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} R(U_i, U_j, U_j, U_i) = 2 \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} \widehat{R}(U_i, U_j, U_j, U_i) + 2 \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} \left[T_{ii}^s T_{jj}^s - (T_{ij}^s)^2 \right].$$

In view of the last equality, (4.6) can be written as

$$2\widehat{\tau} \geq \frac{c+3}{4}r(r-1) - \frac{c-1}{2}(r-1) - \frac{1}{2}r^2\|H\|^2 + 2 \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} \widehat{R}(U_i, U_j, U_j, U_i) - 2 \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} R(U_i, U_j, U_j, U_i). \tag{4.7}$$

Then using the equality

$$2\widehat{\tau} = 2 \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} \widehat{R}(U_i, U_j, U_j, U_i) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^r \widehat{R}(U_1, U_j, U_j, U_1), \tag{4.8}$$

in view of (4.7), we have

$$2\widehat{Ric}(U_1) \geq \frac{c+3}{4}r(r-1) - \frac{c-1}{2}(r-1) - \frac{1}{2}r^2\|H\|^2 - 2 \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} R(U_i, U_j, U_j, U_i).$$

Since M is a Sasakian space form, its curvature tensor R satisfies the equality (2.4). So we obtain

$$\widehat{Ric}(U_1) \geq \frac{c+3}{4}(r-1) + \frac{c-1}{4} \left\{ (2-r)\eta(U_1)^2 - 1 \right\} - \frac{1}{4}r^2\|H\|^2.$$

Hence we state the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. Let $\pi : M(c) \rightarrow B$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian space form $(M(c), g)$ onto a Riemannian manifold (B, g') such that ξ is vertical. Then

$$\widehat{Ric}(U_1) \geq \frac{c+3}{4}(r-1) - \frac{c-1}{4} \left\{ (r-2)\eta(U_1)^2 + 1 \right\} - \frac{1}{4}r^2\|H\|^2.$$

The equality case of the inequality holds if and only if

$$T_{11}^s = T_{22}^s + \dots + T_{rr}^s, \\ T_{1j} = 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, r.$$

On the other hand, using (4.2) and Lemma 2.3, the equation (3.3) can be rewritten as

$$2\tau^* = \frac{c+3}{4}n(n-1) + \frac{3}{4}(c-1)(n + tr(\phi B)) - 3 \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sum_{i,j=1}^n (A_{ij}^\alpha)^2.$$

Since A is anti-symmetric on $\mathcal{H}(M(c))$, the above equality turns into

$$2\tau^* = \frac{c+3}{4}n(n-1) + \frac{3}{4}(c-1)(n + tr(\phi B)) - 6 \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sum_{j=2}^n (A_{1j}^\alpha)^2 - 6 \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} (A_{ij}^\alpha)^2. \tag{4.9}$$

Furthermore, from (2.2), taking $X = H = X_i, Y = Z = X_j$ and using (4.2), we have

$$2 \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} R(X_i, X_j, X_j, X_i) = 2 \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} R^*(X_i, X_j, X_j, X_i) + 6 \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} (A_{ij}^\alpha)^2. \tag{4.10}$$

If we consider the last equality in (4.9), then we get

$$2\tau^* = \frac{c+3}{4}n(n-1) + \frac{3}{4}(c-1)(n + \text{tr}(\phi B)) - 6 \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sum_{j=2}^n (A_{1j}^\alpha)^2 + 2 \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} R^*(X_i, X_j, X_j, X_i) - 2 \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} R(X_i, X_j, X_j, X_i).$$

Since M is a Sasakian space form, its curvature tensor R satisfies the equality (2.4). Then we have

$$2\text{Ric}^*(X_1) = \frac{c+3}{2}(n-1) + \frac{3}{4}(c-1)\|CX_1\|^2 - 6 \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sum_{j=2}^n (A_{1j}^\alpha)^2.$$

So we can write

$$\text{Ric}^*(X_1) \leq \frac{c+3}{2}(n-1) + \frac{3}{4}(c-1)\|CX_1\|^2.$$

Hence we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\pi : M(c) \rightarrow B$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian space form $(M(c), g)$ onto a Riemannian manifold (B, g') such that ξ is vertical. Then*

$$\text{Ric}^*(X_1) \leq \frac{c+3}{4}(n-1) + \frac{3}{4}(c-1)\|CX_1\|^2.$$

The equality case of the inequality holds if and only if

$$A_{1j} = 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, n.$$

Now, we compute the Chen-Ricci inequality between the vertical and horizontal distributions for the case of ξ is vertical. For the scalar curvature τ of $M(c)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\tau &= \sum_{s=1}^n \text{Ric}(X_s, X_s) + \sum_{k=1}^r \text{Ric}(U_k, U_k), \\ 2\tau &= \sum_{j,k=1}^r R(U_j, U_k, U_k, U_j) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^r R(X_i, U_k, U_k, X_i) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,s=1}^n R(X_i, X_s, X_s, X_i) + \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r R(U_j, X_s, X_s, U_j). \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Since $M(c)$ is a Sasakian space form, using (4.11) and (2.4), we find

$$2\tau = \frac{c+3}{4}(r(r-1) + n(n-1) + 2nr) + \frac{c-1}{4}(4(r-1) + n + 3\text{tr}\phi B). \tag{4.12}$$

On the other hand, from the Gauss-Codazzi type equations (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\tau &= 2\widehat{\tau} + 2\tau^* + r^2\|H\|^2 + \sum_{k,j=1}^r g(T_{U_k}U_j, T_{U_k}U_j) \\ &\quad + 3 \sum_{i,s=1}^n g(A_{X_i}X_s, A_{X_i}X_s) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^r g((\nabla_{X_i}T)_{U_k}, U_k, X_i) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^r \{g(T_{U_k}X_i, T_{U_k}X_i) - g(A_{X_i}U_k, A_{X_i}U_k)\} - \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r g((\nabla_{X_s}T)_{U_j}, U_j, X_s) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r \{g(T_{U_j}X_s, T_{U_j}X_s) - g(A_{X_s}U_j, A_{X_s}U_j)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Using (4.5) and (4.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\tau &= 2\widehat{\tau} + 2\tau^* + \frac{1}{2}r^2 \|H\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^n [T_{11}^s - T_{22}^s - \dots - T_{rr}^s]^2 \\
 &\quad - 2 \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^r (T_{1j}^s)^2 + 2 \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{2 \leq j < k \leq r} [T_{jj}^s T_{kk}^s - (T_{jk}^s)^2] + 6 \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sum_{s=2}^n (A_{1s}^\alpha)^2 \\
 &\quad + 6 \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sum_{2 \leq i < s \leq n} (A_{is}^\alpha)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^r \{g(T_{U_k} X_i, T_{U_k} X_i) - g(A_{X_i} U_k, A_{X_i} U_k)\} \\
 &\quad - 2\delta(N) + \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r \{g(T_{U_j} X_s, T_{U_j} X_s) - g(A_{X_s} U_j, A_{X_s} U_j)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By making use of (4.8), (4.10) and (4.12) in the last equality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{c+3}{2}nr + \frac{c-1}{2}(3(r-1)-n) \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{k=1}^r R(U_1, U_k, U_k, U_1) + 2 \sum_{s=1}^n R(X_1, X_s, X_s, X_1) \\
 &= 2\widehat{Ric}(U_1) + 2Ric^*(X_1) + \frac{1}{2}r^2 \|H\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^n [T_{11}^s - T_{22}^s - \dots - T_{rr}^s]^2 \\
 &\quad - 2 \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^r (T_{1j}^s)^2 + 6 \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sum_{s=2}^n (A_{1s}^\alpha)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^r \{g(T_{U_k} X_i, T_{U_k} X_i) - g(A_{X_i} U_k, A_{X_i} U_k)\} \\
 &\quad - 2\delta(N) + \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r \{g(T_{U_j} X_s, T_{U_j} X_s) - g(A_{X_s} U_j, A_{X_s} U_j)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We denote

$$\|T^V\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^r g(T_{U_k} X_i, T_{U_k} X_i)$$

and

$$\|A^H\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^r g(A_{X_i} U_k, A_{X_i} U_k),$$

(see [12]).

Since $(M(c), g)$ is a Sasakian space form, from (2.4), we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\pi : M(c) \rightarrow B$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian space form $(M(c), g)$ onto a Riemannian manifold (B, g') such that ξ is vertical. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{c+3}{4} \{nr + n + r - 2\} + \frac{c-1}{4} \{3r - 4 - n - (r-2)\eta(U_1)^2 + 3\|CX_1\|^2\} \leq \widehat{Ric}(U_1) + Ric^*(X_1) + \frac{1}{4}r^2 \|H\|^2 \\
 &\quad + 3 \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sum_{s=2}^n (A_{1s}^\alpha)^2 - \delta(N) + \|T^V\|^2 - \|A^H\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

The equality case of the inequality holds if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{11}^s &= T_{22}^s + \dots + T_{rr}^s, \\
 T_{1j} &= 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, r.
 \end{aligned}$$

Case II: Assume that ξ is horizontal.

From (3.1), similar to Theorem 4.1, we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 4.4. Let $\pi : M(c) \rightarrow B$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian space form $(M(c), g)$ onto a Riemannian manifold (B, g') such that ξ is horizontal. Then

$$\widehat{Ric}(U_1) \geq \frac{c+3}{4}(r-1) - \frac{1}{4}r^2 \|H\|^2.$$

The equality case of the inequality holds if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} T_{11}^s &= T_{22}^s + \dots + T_{rr}^s, \\ T_{1j} &= 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, r. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.2), similar to Theorem 4.2, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 4.5. Let $\pi : M(c) \rightarrow B$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian space form $(M(c), g)$ onto a Riemannian manifold (B, g') such that ξ is horizontal. Then

$$Ric^*(X_1) \leq \frac{c+3}{4}(n-1) + \frac{c-1}{4} \left\{ (2-n)\eta(X_1)^2 - 1 + 3\|CX_1\|^2 \right\}.$$

The equality case of the inequality holds if and only if

$$A_{1j} = 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, n.$$

Now, we compute the Chen-Ricci inequality between the vertical and horizontal distributions for the case of ξ is horizontal. Since ξ is horizontal, from (4.11), we find

$$2\tau = \frac{c+3}{4}[r(r-1) + n(n-1) + 2nr] + \frac{c-1}{4}[n + 3tr\phi B + 4r - 7].$$

Using the above equation, (4.13), (4.5), (4.8), (4.10) and (4.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{c+3}{2}nr + \frac{c-1}{2}(2r-3) \\ &+ 2\sum_{k=1}^r R(U_1, U_k, U_k, U_1) + 2\sum_{s=1}^n R(X_1, X_s, X_s, X_1) \\ &= 2\widehat{Ric}(U_1) + 2Ric^*(X_1) + \frac{1}{2}r^2 \|H\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{s=1}^n [T_{11}^s - T_{22}^s - \dots - T_{rr}^s]^2 \\ &- 2\sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^r (T_{1j}^s)^2 + 6\sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sum_{s=2}^n (A_{1s}^\alpha)^2 - 2\delta(N) \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r \{g(T_{U_j}X_s, T_{U_j}X_s) - g(A_{X_s}U_j, A_{X_s}U_j)\} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^r \{g(T_{U_k}X_i, T_{U_k}X_i) - g(A_{X_i}U_k, A_{X_i}U_k)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence in view of (2.4), we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 4.6. Let $\pi : M(c) \rightarrow B$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian space form $(M(c), g)$ onto a Riemannian manifold (B, g') such that ξ is horizontal. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{c+3}{4}\{nr + n + r - 2\} + \frac{c-1}{4} \left\{ 2r - 4 - (n-2)\eta(X_1)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 3\|CX_1\|^2 \right\} \leq \widehat{Ric}(U_1) + Ric^*(X_1) + \frac{1}{4}r^2 \|H\|^2 \\ &\quad + 3\sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sum_{s=2}^n (A_{1s}^\alpha)^2 - \delta(N) + \|T^V\|^2 - \|A^H\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The equality case of the inequality holds if and only if

$$T_{11}^S = T_{22}^S + \dots + T_{rr}^S,$$

$$T_{1j} = 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, r.$$

References

- [1] P. Alegre, A. Carriazo, Y.H. Kim, D.W. Yoon, B. Y. Chen's inequality for submanifolds of generalized space forms, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 38 (3) (2007) 185–201.
- [2] P. Alegre, B.-Y. Chen, M.I. Munteanu, Riemannian submersions, δ -invariants, and optimal inequality, *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* 42 (3) (2012) 317–331.
- [3] M.E. Aydın, A. Mihai, I. Mihai, Some inequalities on submanifolds in statistical manifolds of constant curvature, *Filomat* 29 (3) (2015) 465–477.
- [4] H. Aytimur, C. Özgür, Inequalities for submanifolds in statistical manifolds of quasi-constant curvature, *Ann. Pol. Math.* 121 (3) (2018) 197–215.
- [5] D.E. Blair, *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*, second edition, *Progress in Mathematics*, vol. 203, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2010.
- [6] B.-Y. Chen, Some pinching and classification theorems for minimal submanifolds, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 60 (6) (1993) 568–578.
- [7] B.-Y. Chen, Relations between Ricci curvature and shape operator for submanifolds with arbitrary codimensions, *Glasg. Math. J.* 41 (1) (1999) 33–41.
- [8] B.-Y. Chen, Riemannian submersions, minimal immersions and cohomology class, *Proc. Jpn. Acad., Ser. A, Math. Sci.* 81 (10) (2005) 162–167.
- [9] B.-Y. Chen, Examples and classification of Riemannian submersions satisfying a basic equality, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 72 (3) (2005) 391–402.
- [10] B.-Y. Chen, *Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry, δ -Invariants and Applications*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2011.
- [11] M. Falcitelli, S. Ianus, A.M. Pastore, *Riemannian Submersions and Related Topics*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2004.
- [12] M. Gülbahar, Ş. Eken Meriç, E. Kiliç, Sharp inequalities involving the Ricci curvature for Riemannian submersions, *Kragujev. J. Math.* 41 (2) (2017) 279–293.
- [13] İ. Küpeli Erken, C. Murathan, Anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from Sasakian manifolds, arXiv:1302.4906, 2013.
- [14] J.W. Lee, Anti-invariant ξ^\perp -Riemannian submersions from almost contact manifolds, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* 42 (3) (2013) 231–241.
- [15] A. Mihai, *Modern Topics in Submanifold Theory*, Editura Universităţii din Bucureşti, Bucharest, 2006.
- [16] A. Mihai, I. Mihai, Curvature invariants for statistical submanifolds of Hessian manifolds of constant Hessian curvature, *Mathematics* 6 (3) (2018) 44.
- [17] A. Mihai, C. Özgür, Chen inequalities for submanifolds of real space forms with a semi-symmetric metric connection, *Taiwan. J. Math.* 14 (4) (2010) 1465–1477.
- [18] A. Mihai, C. Özgür, Chen inequalities for submanifolds of complex space forms and Sasakian space forms endowed with semi-symmetric metric connections, *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* 41 (5) (2011) 1653–1673.
- [19] B. O'Neill, The fundamental equations of a submersion, *Mich. Math. J.* 13 (1966) 459–469.
- [20] B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Application to Relativity*, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
- [21] C. Özgür, B. Y. Chen inequalities for submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold of quasi-constant curvature, *Turk. J. Math.* 35 (3) (2011) 501–509.
- [22] B. Şahin, Anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* 8 (3) (2010) 437–447.
- [23] B. Şahin, Chen's first inequality for Riemannian maps, *Ann. Pol. Math.* 117 (3) (2016) 249–258.
- [24] B. Şahin, *Riemannian Submersions, Riemannian Maps in Hermitian Geometry, and Their Applications*, Elsevier/Academic Press, London, 2017.