

CHEN INEQUALITIES FOR SUBMANIFOLDS
OF COMPLEX SPACE FORMS AND
SASAKIAN SPACE FORMS ENDOWED WITH
SEMI-SYMMETRIC METRIC CONNECTIONS

ADELA MIHAI AND CIHAN ÖZGÜR

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove Chen inequalities for submanifolds of complex space forms and, respectively, Sasakian space forms, endowed with semi-symmetric metric connections, i.e., relations between the mean curvature associated with the semi-symmetric metric connection, scalar and sectional curvatures, Ricci curvatures and the sectional curvature of the ambient space. The equality cases are considered.

1. Introduction. In [10], Hayden introduced the notion of a semi-symmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold. Yano studied in [18] some properties of a Riemannian manifold endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection. In [11, 12], Imai found some properties of a Riemannian manifold and a hypersurface of a Riemannian manifold with a semi-symmetric metric connection. Nakao [16] studied submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold with semi-symmetric connections.

On the other hand, one of the basic problems in submanifold theory is to find simple relationships between the extrinsic and intrinsic invariants of a submanifold. Chen [5–9] established inequalities in this respect, well-known as *Chen inequalities*.

Afterwards, many geometers studied similar problems for different submanifolds in various ambient spaces, for example, see [2–4, 13, 14, 17].

Recently, in [15] the present authors proved Chen inequalities for submanifolds of real space forms with a semi-symmetric metric connection.

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification*. Primary 53C40, 53B05, 53B15.

Keywords and phrases. Semi-symmetric metric connection, Chen inequality, complex space form, Sasakian space form, Ricci curvature.

The first author's work for the revised version of this paper was supported by the strategic grant POSDRU /89/1.5/S/58852, Project Postdoctoral programme for training scientific researchers, cofinanced by the European Social Fund within the Sectorial Operational Program Human Resources Development 2007–2013.

Received by the editors on January 9, 2009.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2011-41-5-1653 Copyright ©2011 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

As a natural prolongation of our research, in this paper we will study Chen inequalities for submanifolds in complex, respectively Sasakian space forms, endowed with semi-symmetric metric connections.

2. Preliminaries. Semi-symmetric metric connection. Let N^{n+p} be an $(n+p)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and $\tilde{\nabla}$ a linear connection on N^{n+p} . If the torsion tensor \tilde{T} of $\tilde{\nabla}$, defined by

$$\tilde{T}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Y} - \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{Y}}\tilde{X} - [\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}],$$

for any vector fields \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} on N^{n+p} , satisfies

$$\tilde{T}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = \omega(\tilde{Y})\tilde{X} - \omega(\tilde{X})\tilde{Y}$$

for a 1-form ω , then the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is called a *semi-symmetric connection*.

Let g be a Riemannian metric on N^{n+p} . If $\tilde{\nabla}g = 0$, then $\tilde{\nabla}$ is called a semi-symmetric metric connection on N^{n+p} .

Following [18], a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on N^{n+p} is given by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Y} = \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}}^{\circ}\tilde{Y} + \omega(\tilde{Y})\tilde{X} - g(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})U,$$

for any vector fields \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} on N^{n+p} , where $\tilde{\nabla}^{\circ}$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the Riemannian metric g and U is a vector field defined by $g(U, \tilde{X}) = \omega(\tilde{X})$, for any vector field \tilde{X} .

We will consider a Riemannian manifold N^{n+p} endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and the Levi-Civita connection denoted by $\tilde{\nabla}^{\circ}$.

Let M^n be an n -dimensional submanifold of an $(n+p)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold N^{n+p} . On the submanifold M^n we consider the induced semi-symmetric metric connection denoted by ∇ and the induced Levi-Civita connection denoted by ∇° .

Let \tilde{R} be the curvature tensor of N^{n+p} with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$ and \tilde{R}° the curvature tensor of N^{n+p} with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}^{\circ}$. We also denote by R and R° the curvature tensors of ∇ and ∇° , respectively, on M^n .

The Gauss formulas with respect to ∇ , respectively $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_X Y &= \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in \chi(M), \\ \overset{\circ}{\tilde{\nabla}}_X Y &= \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_X Y + \overset{\circ}{h}(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in \chi(M), \end{aligned}$$

where $\overset{\circ}{h}$ is the second fundamental form of M^n in N^{n+p} and h is a $(0, 2)$ -tensor on M^n . According to the formula (7) from [16], h is also symmetric. The Gauss equation for the submanifold M^n into an $(n + p)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold N^{n+p} is

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad \overset{\circ}{\tilde{R}}(X, Y, Z, W) &= \overset{\circ}{R}(X, Y, Z, W) + g(\overset{\circ}{h}(X, Z), \overset{\circ}{h}(Y, W)) - g(\overset{\circ}{h}(X, W), \overset{\circ}{h}(Y, Z)). \end{aligned}$$

One denotes by $\overset{\circ}{H}$ the mean curvature vector of M^n in N^{n+p} . The curvature tensor $\overset{\circ}{\tilde{R}}$ with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection $\overset{\circ}{\tilde{\nabla}}$ on N^{n+p} can be written as (see [12])

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad \tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) &= \overset{\circ}{\tilde{R}}(X, Y, Z, W) - \alpha(Y, Z)g(X, W) + \alpha(X, Z)g(Y, W) \\ &\quad - \alpha(X, W)g(Y, Z) + \alpha(Y, W)g(X, Z), \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields $X, Y, Z, W \in \chi(M^n)$, where α is a $(0, 2)$ -tensor field defined by

$$\alpha(X, Y) = (\overset{\circ}{\tilde{\nabla}}_X \omega)Y - \omega(X)\omega(Y) + \frac{1}{2}\omega(P)g(X, Y), \text{ for all } X, Y \in \chi(M).$$

Denote by λ the trace of α .

Let $\pi \subset T_x M^n$, $x \in M^n$, be a 2-plane section. Denote by $K(\pi)$ the sectional curvature of M^n with respect to the induced semi-symmetric metric connection ∇ . For any orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ of the tangent space $T_x M^n$, the scalar curvature τ at x is defined by

$$\tau(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} K(e_i \wedge e_j).$$

Recall that the *Chen first invariant* is given by

$$\delta_M(x) = \tau(x) - \inf \{K(\pi) \mid \pi \subset T_x M^n, x \in M^n, \dim \pi = 2\},$$

(see for example [9]), where M^n is a Riemannian manifold, $K(\pi)$ is the sectional curvature of M^n associated with a 2-plane section, $\pi \subset T_x M^n$, $x \in M^n$ and τ is the scalar curvature at x .

The following algebraic lemma is well-known.

Lemma [5]. *Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b be $(n+1)$ ($n \geq 2$) real numbers such that*

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right)^2 = (n-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 + b\right).$$

Then $2a_1 a_2 \geq b$, with equality holding if and only if $a_1 + a_2 = a_3 = \dots = a_n$.

Let M^n be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold, L a k -plane section of $T_x M^n$, $x \in M^n$, and X a unit vector in L .

We choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ of L such that $e_1 = X$.

One defines [7] the *Ricci curvature* (or *k-Ricci curvature*) of L at X by

$$\text{Ric } L(X) = K_{12} + K_{13} + \dots + K_{1k},$$

where K_{ij} denotes, as usual, the sectional curvature of the 2-plane section spanned by e_i, e_j . For each integer k , $2 \leq k \leq n$, the Riemannian invariant Θ_k on M^n is defined by:

$$\Theta_k(x) = \frac{1}{k-1} \inf_{L, X} \text{Ric}_L(X), \quad x \in M^n,$$

where L runs over all k -plane sections in $T_x M^n$ and X runs over all unit vectors in L .

We will recall the definitions of a complex manifold and of a Sasakian manifold, in particular, of a complex space form and a Sasakian space form and fix the notations at the beginning of the corresponding sections. We consider as an ambient space a complex space form endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection, respectively a Sasakian space form endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection.

3. Chen first inequality for submanifolds of complex space forms. Let N^{2m} be a Kaehler manifold and J the canonical almost complex structure. The sectional curvature of N^{2m} in the direction of an invariant 2-plane section by J is called the *holomorphic sectional curvature*.

If the holomorphic sectional curvature is constant $4c$ for all plane sections π of $T_x N^{2m}$ invariant by J for any $x \in N^{2m}$, then N^{2m} is called a complex space form and is denoted by $N^{2m}(4c)$. The curvature tensor $\overset{\circ}{R}$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ on $N^{2m}(4c)$ is given by

$$(C.2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \overset{\circ}{R}(X, Y, Z, W) &= c[g(X, W)g(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(JX, Z)g(JY, W) \\ &\quad + g(JX, W)g(JY, Z) - 2g(X, JY)g(Z, JW)]. \end{aligned}$$

If $N^{2m}(4c)$ is a complex space form of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $4c$ with a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$, then from (2.2) and (C.2.3), the curvature tensor \tilde{R} of $N^{2m}(4c)$ can be expressed as

$$(C.2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) &= c[g(X, W)g(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(JX, Z)g(JY, W) \\ &\quad + g(JX, W)g(JY, Z) - 2g(X, JY)g(Z, JW)] - \alpha(Y, Z)g(X, W) \\ &\quad + \alpha(X, Z)g(Y, W) - \alpha(X, W)g(Y, Z) + \alpha(Y, W)g(X, Z). \end{aligned}$$

Let M^n , $n \geq 3$, be an n -dimensional submanifold of a $2m$ -dimensional complex space form $N^{2m}(4c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $4c$. For any tangent vector field X to M^n , we put

$$JX = PX + FX,$$

where PX and FX are the tangential and normal components of JX , respectively. We define

$$\|P\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^2(Je_i, e_j).$$

Following [1], we denote by $\Theta^2(\pi) = g^2(Pe_1, e_2) = g^2(Je_1, e_2)$, where $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is an orthonormal basis of a 2-plane section π . $\Theta^2(\pi)$ is a real number in $[0, 1]$, independent of the choice of e_1, e_2 .

We prove the following

Theorem 3.1. *Let M^n , $n \geq 3$, be an n -dimensional submanifold of a $2m$ -dimensional complex space form $N^{2m}(4c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $4c$, endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$. We have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x) - K(\pi) \leq & \frac{n-2}{2} \left[\frac{n^2}{n-1} \|H\|^2 + (n+1)c - 2\lambda \right] \\ & - [6\Theta^2(\pi) - 3\|P\|^2] \frac{c}{2} - \text{trace}(\alpha|_{\pi^\perp}), \end{aligned}$$

where π is a 2-plane section of $T_x M^n$, $x \in M^n$ and $\lambda = \text{trace } \alpha$.

Proof. Let $x \in M^n$ and $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\{e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{n+p}\}$ be orthonormal bases of $T_x M^n$ and $T_x^\perp M^n$, respectively. For $X = W = e_i$, $Y = Z = e_j$, $i \neq j$, from equation (C.2.4) it follows that:

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{R}(e_i, e_j, e_j, e_i) = c[1 + 3g^2(Je_i, e_j)] - \alpha(e_i, e_i) - \alpha(e_j, e_j).$$

From (3.1) and the Gauss equation with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & c[1 + 3g^2(Je_i, e_j)] - \alpha(e_i, e_i) - \alpha(e_j, e_j) \\ & = R(e_i, e_j, e_j, e_i) + g(h(e_i, e_j), h(e_i, e_j)) - g(h(e_i, e_i), h(e_j, e_j)). \end{aligned}$$

By summation after $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, it follows from the previous relation that

$$(3.2) \quad 2\tau + \|h\|^2 - n^2\|H\|^2 = c \left[n^2 - n + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^2(Je_i, e_j) \right] - 2(n-1)\lambda,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|^2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n g(h(e_i, e_j), h(e_i, e_j)), \\ H &= \frac{1}{n} \text{trace } h. \end{aligned}$$

We take

$$(3.3) \quad \varepsilon = 2\tau - \frac{n^2(n-2)}{n-1} \|H\|^2 + 2(n-1)\lambda - (n^2 - n + 3\|P\|^2)c.$$

Then, from (3.2) and (3.3) we get

$$n^2 \|H\|^2 = (n-1)(\|h\|^2 + \varepsilon).$$

Let $x \in M^n$, $\pi \subset T_x M^n$, $\dim \pi = 2$, $\pi = sp\{e_1, e_2\}$. We define $e_{n+1} = H/\|H\|$ and from relation (3.3) we obtain:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii}^{n+1}\right)^2 = (n-1) \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{r=n+1}^{n+p} (h_{ij}^r)^2 + \varepsilon \right],$$

or equivalently,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii}^{n+1}\right)^2 = (n-1) \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (h_{ii}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{r=n+2}^{n+p} (h_{ij}^r)^2 + \varepsilon \right].$$

By using the algebraic Lemma (see Section 2), we have from the previous relation

$$2h_{11}^{n+1}h_{22}^{n+1} \geq \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{r=n+2}^{n+p} (h_{ij}^r)^2 + \varepsilon.$$

The Gauss equation for $X = Z = e_1, Y = W = e_2$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} K(\pi) &= R(e_1, e_2, e_2, e_1) \\ &= c[1 + 3g^2(Je_1, e_2)] - \alpha(e_1, e_1) - \alpha(e_2, e_2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=n+1}^p [h_{11}^r h_{22}^r - (h_{12}^r)^2] \\ &\geq c[1 + 3g^2(Je_1, e_2)] - \alpha(e_1, e_1) - \alpha(e_2, e_2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m} (h_{ij}^r)^2 + \varepsilon \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m} h_{11}^r h_{22}^r - \sum_{r=n+1}^{2m} (h_{12}^r)^2 \\
= & c[1 + 3g^2(Je_1, e_2)] - \alpha(e_1, e_1) - \alpha(e_2, e_2) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m} (h_{ij}^r)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon + \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m} h_{11}^r h_{22}^r - \sum_{r=n+1}^{2m} (h_{12}^r)^2 \\
= & c[1 + 3g^2(Je_1, e_2)] - \alpha(e_1, e_1) - \alpha(e_2, e_2) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=2m}^{2m} \sum_{i,j>2} (h_{ij}^r)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=2m}^{2m} (h_{11}^r + h_{22}^r)^2 + \sum_{j>2} [(h_{1j}^{n+1})^2 + (h_{2j}^{n+1})^2] + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \\
\geq & c[1 + 3g^2(Je_1, e_2)] - \alpha(e_1, e_1) - \alpha(e_2, e_2) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$K(\pi) \geq c[1 + 3g^2(Je_1, e_2)] - \alpha(e_1, e_1) - \alpha(e_2, e_2) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
K(\pi) \geq & -\frac{n-2}{2} \left[\frac{n^2}{n-1} \|H\|^2 + (n+1)c - 2\lambda \right] \\
& + [6\Theta^2(\pi) - 3\|P\|^2] \frac{c}{2} + \text{trace}(\alpha|_{\pi^\perp}),
\end{aligned}$$

which represents the inequality to prove.

Recall the following important result (Proposition 1.2) from [11].

Proposition 3.2. *The mean curvature H of M^n with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection coincides with the mean curvature $\overset{\circ}{H}$ of M^n with respect to the Levi-Civita connection if and only if the vector field U is tangent to M^n .*

Remark. According to formula (7) from [16] (see also Proposition 3.2), it follows that $h = \overset{\circ}{h}$ if U is tangent to M^n . In this case the

inequality proved in Theorem 3.1 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x) - K(\pi) \leq & \frac{n-2}{2} \left[\frac{n^2}{n-1} \left\| \overset{\circ}{H} \right\|^2 + (n+1)c - 2\lambda \right] \\ & - [6\Theta^2(\pi) - 3\|P\|^2] \frac{c}{2} - \text{trace}(\alpha|_{\pi^\perp}), \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.3. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 3.1, if the vector field U is tangent to M^n , then the equality case of inequality from Theorem 3.1 holds at a point $x \in M^n$ if and only if there exist an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ of $T_x M^n$ and an orthonormal basis $\{e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{2m}\}$ of $T_x^\perp M^n$ such that the shape operators of M^n in $N^{2m}(4c)$ at x have the following forms:*

$$\begin{aligned} A_{e_{n+1}} &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad a + b = \mu, \\ A_{e_r} &= \begin{pmatrix} h_{11}^r & h_{12}^r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ h_{12}^r & -h_{11}^r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n+2 \leq r \leq 2m, \end{aligned}$$

where $h_{ij}^r = g(h(e_i, e_j), e_r)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $n+2 \leq r \leq 2m$.

Proof. The equality case holds at a point $x \in M^n$ if and only if it achieves the equality in all the previous inequalities and we have equality in the Lemma.

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ij}^{n+1} &= 0, \quad \text{for all } i \neq j, i, j > 2, \\ h_{ij}^r &= 0, \quad \text{for all } i \neq j, i, j > 2, r = n+1, \dots, 2m, \\ h_{11}^r + h_{22}^r &= 0, \quad \text{for all } r = n+2, \dots, 2m, \\ h_{1j}^{n+1} &= h_{2j}^{n+1} = 0, \quad \text{for all } j > 2, \\ h_{11}^{n+1} + h_{22}^{n+1} &= h_{33}^{n+1} = \cdots = h_{nn}^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We may choose $\{e_1, e_2\}$ such that $h_{12}^{n+1} = 0$, and we denote by $a = h_{11}^r$, $b = h_{22}^r$, $\mu = h_{33}^{n+1} = \cdots = h_{nn}^{n+1}$. It follows that the shape operators take the desired forms.

4. Ricci curvature for submanifolds of complex space forms.

In this section we prove relationships between the Ricci curvature of a submanifold M^n of a complex space form $N^{2m}(4c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection, and the squared mean curvature $\|H\|^2$. We suppose that the vector field U is tangent to M^n .

Theorem 4.1. *Let M^n , $n \geq 3$, be an n -dimensional submanifold of a $2m$ -dimensional complex space form $N^{2m}(4c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $4c$ endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ such that the vector field U is tangent to M^n . Then we have*

$$(4.1) \quad \|H\|^2 \geq \frac{2\tau}{n(n-1)} + \frac{2}{n}\lambda - c - \frac{3c}{n(n-1)}\|P\|^2.$$

Proof. Let $x \in M^n$ and $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ an orthonormal basis of $T_x M^n$. The relation (3.2) is equivalent with

$$(4.2) \quad n^2\|H\|^2 = 2\tau + \|h\|^2 + 2(n-1)\lambda - c[n^2 - n + 3\|P\|^2].$$

We choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{2m}\}$ at x such that e_{n+1} is parallel to the mean curvature vector $H(x)$ and e_1, \dots, e_n diagonalize the shape operator $A_{e_{n+1}}$. Then the shape operators take the forms

$$A_{e_{n+1}} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_n \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_{e_r} = (h_{ij}^r), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n; \quad r = n+2, \dots, 2m, \quad \text{trace } A_{e_r} = 0.$$

From (4.2), we get

$$(4.3) \quad n^2\|H\|^2 = 2\tau + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 + \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (h_{ij}^r)^2 + 2(n-1)\lambda - c[n^2 - n + 3\|P\|^2].$$

On the other hand, since

$$0 \leq \sum_{i < j} (a_i - a_j)^2 = (n - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i < j} a_i a_j,$$

we obtain

$$n^2 \|H\|^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} a_i a_j \leq n \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2,$$

which implies

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \geq n \|H\|^2.$$

We have from (4.3)

$$n^2 \|H\|^2 \geq 2\tau + n \|H\|^2 + 2(n - 1)\lambda - c[n^2 - n + 3\|P\|^2],$$

i.e., (4.1).

Using Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following

Theorem 4.2. *Let M^n , $n \geq 3$, be an n -dimensional submanifold of a $2m$ -dimensional complex space form $N^{2m}(4c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $4c$ endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$, such that the vector field U is tangent to M^n . Then, for any integer k , $2 \leq k \leq n$, and any point $x \in M^n$, we have*

$$(4.5) \quad \|H\|^2(x) \geq \Theta_k(p) + \frac{2}{n}\lambda - c - \frac{3c}{n(n-1)}\|P\|^2.$$

Proof. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_x M$. Denote by $L_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ the k -plane section spanned by e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k} . By the definitions, one has

$$(4.6) \quad \tau(L_{i_1 \dots i_k}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}} \text{Ric}_{L_{i_1 \dots i_k}}(e_i),$$

$$(4.7) \quad \tau(x) = \frac{1}{C_{n-2}^{k-2}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \tau(L_{i_1 \dots i_k}).$$

From (4.1), (4.6) and (4.7), one derives

$$(4.8) \quad \tau(x) \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \Theta_k(x),$$

which implies (4.5).

5. Chen first inequality for submanifolds of Sasakian space forms. A $(2m+1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold (N^{2m+1}, g) has an almost contact metric structure if it admits a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field φ , a vector field ξ and a 1-form η satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^2 X &= -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1 \\ g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) &= g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \\ g(X, \xi) &= \eta(X), \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields X, Y on TN . Let Φ denote the fundamental 2-form in N^{2m+1} , given by $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y)$, for all X, Y on TN . If $\Phi = d\eta$, then N^{2m+1} is called a *contact metric manifold*. The structure of N^{2m+1} is called *normal* if

$$[\varphi, \varphi] + 2d\eta \otimes \xi = 0,$$

where $[\varphi, \varphi]$ is the Nijenhuis torsion of φ . A *Sasakian manifold* is a normal contact metric manifold.

A plane section π in $T_p N^{2m+1}$ is called a φ -*section* if it is spanned by X and φX , where X is a unit tangent vector field orthogonal to ξ . The sectional curvature of a φ -section is called a φ -*sectional curvature*. A Sasakian manifold with constant φ -sectional curvature c is said to be a *Sasakian space form* and is denoted by $N^{2m+1}(c)$. The curvature tensor $\overset{\circ}{R}$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ on $N^{2m+1}(c)$ is expressed by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(S.2.5)} \quad \overset{\circ}{\tilde{R}}(X, Y, Z, W) &= \frac{c+3}{4}[g(X, W)g(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] \\
 &+ \frac{c-1}{4}[\eta(X)\eta(Z)g(Y, W) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)g(X, W) \\
 &\quad + \eta(Y)\eta(W)g(X, Z) - \eta(X)\eta(W)g(Y, Z) \\
 &\quad + g(X, \varphi Z)g(\varphi Y, W) - g(Y, \varphi Z)g(\varphi X, W) \\
 &\quad + 2g(X, \varphi Y)g(\varphi Z, W)],
 \end{aligned}$$

for vector fields X, Y, Z, W on $N^{2m+1}(c)$.

If $N^{2m+1}(c)$ is a $(2m+1)$ -dimensional Sasakian space form of constant φ -sectional curvature c endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$, from (2.2) and (S.2.5) it follows that the curvature tensor $\tilde{\nabla}$ of $N^{2m+1}(c)$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(S.2.6)} \quad \tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) &= \frac{c+3}{4}[g(X, W)g(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] \\
 &+ \frac{c-1}{4}[\eta(X)\eta(Z)g(Y, W) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)g(X, W) \\
 &\quad + \eta(Y)\eta(W)g(X, Z) - \eta(X)\eta(W)g(Y, Z) \\
 &\quad + g(X, \varphi Z)g(\varphi Y, W)g(Y, \varphi Z)g(\varphi X, W) \\
 &\quad + 2g(X, \varphi Y)g(\varphi Z, W)] - \alpha(Y, Z)g(X, W) \\
 &+ \alpha(X, Z)g(Y, W) - \alpha(X, W)g(Y, Z) \\
 &+ \alpha(Y, W)g(X, Z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $M^n, n \geq 3$, be an n -dimensional submanifold of a $(2m + 1)$ -dimensional Sasakian space form of constant φ -sectional curvature $N^{n+p}(c)$ of constant sectional curvature c . For any tangent vector field X to M^n , we put

$$\varphi X = PX + FX,$$

where PX and FX are tangential and normal components of φX , respectively, and we decompose

$$\xi = \xi^\top + \xi^\perp,$$

where ξ^\top and ξ^\perp denotes the tangential and normal parts of ξ .

Recall that $\Theta^2(\pi) = g^2(Pe_1, e_2) = g^2(\varphi e_1, e_2)$, where $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is an orthonormal basis of a 2-plane section π , is a real number in $[0, 1]$, independent of the choice of e_1, e_2 .

For submanifolds of Sasakian space forms endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection we establish the following optimal inequality.

Theorem 5.1. *Let M^n , $n \geq 3$, be an n -dimensional submanifold of a $(2m + 1)$ -dimensional Sasakian space form $N^{2m+1}(c)$ of constant φ -sectional curvature endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$. We have:*

$$\begin{aligned} (5.1) \quad \tau(x) - K(\pi) &\leq (n-2) \left[\frac{n^2}{2(n-1)} \|H\|^2 + (n+1) \frac{c+3}{8} - \lambda \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{c-1}{8} [3\|P\|^2 - 6\Theta^2(\pi) - 2(n-1)\|\xi^\top\|^2 + 2\|\xi_\pi\|^2] \\ &\quad - \text{trace}(\alpha|_{\pi^\perp}), \end{aligned}$$

where π is a 2-plane section of $T_x M^n$, $x \in M^n$.

Proof. From [16], the Gauss equation with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection is

$$\begin{aligned} (5.2) \quad \tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) &= R(X, Y, Z, W) + g(h(X, Z), h(Y, W)) - g(h(Y, Z), h(X, W)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in M^n$ and $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\{e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{2m+1}\}$ be orthonormal bases of $T_x M^n$ and $T_x^\perp M^n$, respectively. For $X = W = e_i$, $Y = Z = e_j$, $i \neq j$, from equation (S.2.6) it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} (5.3) \quad \tilde{R}(e_i, e_j, e_j, e_i) &= \frac{c+3}{4} + \frac{c-1}{4} [-\eta(e_i)^2 - \eta(e_j)^2 + 3g^2(Pe_j, e_i)] \\ &\quad - \alpha(e_i, e_i) - \alpha(e_j, e_j). \end{aligned}$$

From (5.2) and (5.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{c+3}{4} + \frac{c-1}{4}[-\eta(e_i)^2 - \eta(e_j)^2 + 3g^2(Pe_j, e_i)] \\ & \quad - \alpha(e_i, e_i) - \alpha(e_j, e_j) \\ & = R(e_i, e_j, e_j, e_i) + g(h(e_i, e_j), h(e_i, e_j)) \\ & \quad - g(h(e_i, e_i), h(e_j, e_j)). \end{aligned}$$

By summation after $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, it follows from the previous relation that

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\tau + \|h\|^2 - n^2\|H\|^2 &= 2(n-1)\lambda + (n^2-n)\frac{c+3}{4} \\ &+ \frac{c-1}{4}[-2(n-1)\|\xi^\top\|^2 + 3\|P\|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

We take

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= 2\tau - \frac{n^2(n-2)}{n-1}\lambda - (n^2-n)\frac{c+3}{4} \\ &- \frac{c-1}{4}[-2(n-1)\|\xi^\top\|^2 + 3\|P\|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (5.4) and (5.5), we get

$$(5.6) \quad n^2\|H\|^2 = (n-1)(\|h\|^2 + \varepsilon).$$

Let $x \in M^n$, $\pi \subset T_x M^n$, $\dim \pi = 2$, $\pi = sp\{e_1, e_2\}$. We define $e_{n+1} = H/\|H\|$, and from the relation (5.6) we obtain:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii}^{n+1}\right)^2 = (n-1)\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{r=n+1}^{2m+1} (h_{ij}^r)^2 + \varepsilon\right),$$

or equivalently,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii}^{n+1}\right)^2 = (n-1)\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (h_{ii}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{r=n+2}^{2Xm+1} (h_{ij}^r)^2 + \varepsilon\right].$$

By using the algebraic Lemma we have from the previous relation,

$$2h_{11}^{n+1}h_{22}^{n+1} \geq \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1} (h_{ij}^r)^2 + \varepsilon.$$

If we denote by $\xi_\pi = pr_\pi \xi$ we can write

$$-\eta(e_1)^2 - \eta(e_2)^2 = -\|\xi_\pi\|^2.$$

The Gauss equation for $X = Z = e_1, Y = W = e_2$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} K(\pi) &= R(e_1, e_2, e_2, e_1) \\ &= \frac{c+3}{4} + \frac{c-1}{4}[-\|\xi_\pi\|^2 + 3g^2(Pe_1, e_2)] - \alpha(e_1, e_1) - \alpha(e_2, e_2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=n+1}^p [h_{11}^r h_{22}^r - (h_{12}^r)^2] \\ &\geq \frac{c+3}{4} + \frac{c-1}{4}[-\|\xi_\pi\|^2 + 3g^2(Pe_1, e_2)] - \alpha(e_1, e_1) - \alpha(e_2, e_2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1} (h_{ij}^r)^2 + \varepsilon \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1} h_{11}^r h_{22}^r - \sum_{r=n+1}^{2m+1} (h_{12}^r)^2 \\ &= \frac{c+3}{4} + \frac{c-1}{4}[-\|\xi_\pi\|^2 + 3g^2(Pe_1, e_2)] - \alpha(e_1, e_1) - \alpha(e_2, e_2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1} (h_{ij}^r)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon + \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1} h_{11}^r h_{22}^r - \sum_{r=n+1}^{2m+1} (h_{12}^r)^2 \\ &= \frac{c+3}{4} + \frac{c-1}{4}[-\|\xi_\pi\|^2 + 3g^2(Pe_1, e_2)] - \alpha(e_1, e_1) - \alpha(e_2, e_2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1} \sum_{i,j>2} (h_{ij}^r)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1} (h_{11}^r + h_{22}^r)^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{j>2} [(h_{1j}^{n+1})^2 + (h_{2j}^{n+1})^2] + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{c+3}{4} + \frac{c-1}{4}[-\|\xi_\pi\|^2 + 3g^2(Pe_1, e_2)] - \alpha(e_1, e_1) \\ &\quad - \alpha(e_2, e_2) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} K(\pi) &\geq \frac{c+3}{4} + \frac{c-1}{4}[-\|\xi_\pi\|^2 + 3g^2(Pe_1, e_2)] \\ &\quad - \alpha(e_1, e_1) - \alpha(e_2, e_2) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

From (5.5) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} K(\pi) &\geq \tau - (n-2) \left[\frac{n^2}{2(n-1)} \|H\|^2 + (n+1) \frac{c+3}{8} - \lambda \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{c-1}{8} [3\|P\|^2 - 6\Theta^2(\pi) - 2(n-1)\|\xi^\top\|^2 + 2\|\xi_\pi\|^2] \\ &\quad + \text{trace}(\alpha|_{\pi^\perp}), \end{aligned}$$

which represents the inequality to prove.

Corollary. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 5.1, if ξ is tangent to M^n , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x) - K(\pi) &\leq (n-2) \left[\frac{n^2}{2(n-1)} \|H\|^2 + (n+1) \frac{c+3}{8} - \lambda \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{c-1}{8} [3\|P\|^2 - 6\Theta^2(\pi) - 2(n-1) + 2\|\xi_\pi\|^2] \\ &\quad - \text{trace}(\alpha|_{\pi^\perp}). \end{aligned}$$

If ξ is normal to M^n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x) - K(\pi) &\leq (n-2) \left[\frac{n^2}{2(n-1)} \|H\|^2 + (n+1) \frac{c+3}{8} - \lambda \right] \\ &\quad - \text{trace}(\alpha|_{\pi^\perp}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. According to formula (7) from [16] (see also Proposition 3.2), it follows that $h = \overset{\circ}{h}$ if U is tangent to M^n . In this case inequality

(5.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x) - K(\pi) \leq & (n-2) \left[\frac{n^2}{2(n-1)} \left\| \overset{\circ}{H} \right\|^2 + (n+1) \frac{c+3}{8} - \lambda \right] \\ & + \frac{c-1}{8} [3\|P\|^2 - 6\Theta^2(\pi) - 2(n-1)\|\xi^\top\|^2 + 2\|\xi_\pi\|^2] \\ & - \text{trace}(\alpha|_{\pi^\perp}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.2. *If the vector field U is tangent to M^n , then the equality case of inequality (5.1) holds at a point $x \in M^n$ if and only if there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ of $T_x M^n$ and an orthonormal basis $\{e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{n+p}\}$ of $T_x^\perp M^n$ such that the shape operators of M^n in $N^{2m+1}(c)$ at x have the following forms:*

$$\begin{aligned} A_{e_{n+1}} &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mu \end{pmatrix}, & a+b &= \mu, \\ A_{e_r} &= \begin{pmatrix} h_{11}^r & h_{12}^r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ h_{12}^r & -h_{11}^r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & n+2 \leq i \leq 2m+1, \end{aligned}$$

where we denote by $h_{ij}^r = g(h(e_i, e_j), e_r)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $n+2 \leq r \leq 2m+1$.

Proof. The equality case holds at a point $x \in M^n$ if and only if it achieves equality in all the previous inequalities and we have equality in the Lemma.

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ij}^{n+1} &= 0, & \text{for all } i \neq j, i, j > 2, \\ h_{ij}^r &= 0, & \text{for all } i \neq j, i, j > 2, r = n+1, \dots, 2m+1, \\ h_{11}^r + h_{22}^r &= 0, & \text{for all } r = n+2, \dots, 2m+1, \\ h_{1j}^{n+1} &= h_{2j}^{n+1} = 0, & \text{for all } j > 2, \\ h_{11}^{n+1} + h_{22}^{n+1} &= h_{33}^{n+1} = \cdots = h_{nn}^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We may choose $\{e_1, e_2\}$ such that $h_{12}^{n+1} = 0$, and we denote by $a = h_{11}^r$, $b = h_{22}^r$, $\mu = h_{33}^{n+1} = \dots = h_{nn}^{n+1}$. It follows that the shape operators take the desired forms.

6. Ricci curvature for submanifolds of Sasakian space forms.

We first state a relationship between the sectional curvature of a submanifold M^n of a Sasakian space form $N^{2m+1}(c)$ of constant φ -sectional curvature c endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and the squared mean curvature $\|H\|^2$. Using this inequality, we prove a relationship between the k -Ricci curvature of M^n (intrinsic invariant) and the squared mean curvature $\|H\|^2$ (extrinsic invariant), as another answer of the basic problem in submanifold theory which we have mentioned in the introduction.

In this section we suppose that the vector field U is tangent to M^n .

Theorem 6.1. *Let M^n , $n \geq 3$, be an n -dimensional submanifold of a $(2m+1)$ -dimensional real space form $N^{2m+1}(c)$ of constant φ -sectional curvature c endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ such that the vector field U is tangent to M^n . Then we have*

$$(6.1) \quad \|H\|^2 \geq \frac{2\tau}{n(n-1)} + \frac{2}{n}\lambda - \frac{c+3}{4} - \frac{c-1}{4n(n-1)}[-2(n-1)\|\xi^\top\|^2 + \|P\|^2].$$

Proof. Let $x \in M^n$ and $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be orthonormal bases of $T_x M^n$. Relation (5.4) is equivalent to

$$(6.2) \quad n^2\|H\|^2 = 2\tau + \|h\|^2 + 2(n-1)\lambda - (n^2-n)\frac{c+3}{4} - \frac{c-1}{4}[-2(n-1)\|\xi^\top\|^2 + 3\|P\|^2].$$

We choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{n+p}\}$ at x such that e_{n+1} is parallel to the mean curvature vector $H(x)$ and e_1, \dots, e_n diagonalize the shape operator $A_{e_{n+1}}$. Then the shape operators take the forms

$$A_{e_{n+1}} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_n \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_{e_r} = (h_{ij}^r), i, j = 1, \dots, n; r = n+2, \dots, 2m+1, \text{ trace } A_{e_r} = 0.$$

From (6.2), we get

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} n^2 \|H\|^2 &= 2\tau + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 + \sum_{r=n+2}^{n+p} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (h_{ij}^r)^2 + 2(n-1)\lambda \\ &\quad - (n^2 - n) \frac{c+3}{4} - \frac{c-1}{4} [-2(n-1) \|\xi^\top\|^2 + 3\|P\|^2], \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} n^2 \|H\|^2 &\geq 2\tau + n\|H\|^2 + 2(n-1)\lambda - (n^2 - n) \frac{c+3}{4} \\ &\quad - \frac{c-1}{4} [-2(n-1) \|\xi^\top\|^2 + \|P\|^2], \end{aligned}$$

because $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \geq n\|H\|^2$ (see (4.4)).

The last inequality represents (6.1).

Using Theorem 6.1, we obtain the following

Theorem 6.2. *Let M^n , $n \geq 3$, be an n -dimensional submanifold of a $(2m+1)$ -dimensional Sasakian space form $N^{2m+1}(c)$ of constant φ -sectional curvature c endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$, such that the vector field U is tangent to M^n . Then, for any integer k , $2 \leq k \leq n$, and any point $x \in M^n$, we have*

$$(6.4) \quad \|H\|^2(x) \geq \Theta_k(x) + \frac{2}{n}\lambda - \frac{c+3}{4} - \frac{c-1}{4n(n-1)} [-2(n-1) \|\xi^\top\|^2 + \|P\|^2].$$

Proof. It follows immediately from (6.1) and (4.8). \square

REFERENCES

1. P. Alegre, A. Carriazo, Y.H. Kim and D.W. Yoon, *B.Y. Chen's inequality for submanifolds of generalized space forms*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **38** (2007), 185–201.
2. K. Arslan, R. Ezentaş, I. Mihai, C. Murathan and C. Özgür, *B.Y. Chen inequalities for submanifolds in locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds*, Bull. Inst. Math., Acad. Sin. **29** (2001), 231–242.

3. K. Arslan, R. Ezentaş, I. Mihai, C. Murathan and C. Özgür, *Certain inequalities for submanifolds in (k, μ) -contact space forms*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **64** (2001), 201–212.
4. ———, *Ricci curvature of submanifolds in locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds*, Math. J. Toyama Univ. **26** (2003), 13–24.
5. B.-Y. Chen, *Some pinching and classification theorems for minimal submanifolds*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **60** (1993), 568–578.
6. ———, *Strings of Riemannian invariants, inequalities, ideal immersions and their applications*, The Third Pacific Rim Geometry Conference (Seoul, 1996), 7–60, Monogr. Geom. Topology **25**, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1998.
7. ———, *Relations between Ricci curvature and shape operator for submanifolds with arbitrary codimensions*, Glasgow Math. J. **41** (1999), 33–41.
8. ———, *Some new obstructions to minimal and Lagrangian immersions*, Japan J. Math. **26** (2000), 105–127.
9. ———, *δ -invariants, inequalities of submanifolds and their applications*, in *Topics in differential geometry*, A. Mihai, I. Mihai and R. Miron, eds., Editura Academiei Romane, Bucharest, 2008, 29–156.
10. H.A. Hayden, *Subspaces of a space with torsion*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **34** (1932), 27–50.
11. T. Imai, *Hypersurfaces of a Riemannian manifold with semi-symmetric metric connection*, Tensor **23** (1972), 300–306.
12. ———, *Notes on semi-symmetric metric connections*, Vol. I. Tensor **24** (1972), 293–296.
13. K. Matsumoto, I. Mihai and A. Oiaga, *Ricci curvature of submanifolds in complex space forms*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. **46** (2001), 775–782.
14. A. Mihai, *Modern topics in submanifold theory*, Editura Univ. Bucuresti, Bucharest, 2006.
15. A. Mihai and C. Özgür, *Chen inequalities for submanifolds of real space forms with a semi-symmetric metric connection*, Taiwanese J. Math. **14** (2010), 1465–1477.
16. Z. Nakao, *Submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold with semisymmetric metric connections*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1976), 261–266.
17. A. Oiaga and I. Mihai, *B.Y. Chen inequalities for slant submanifolds in complex space forms*, Demonstr. Math. **32** (1999), 835–846.
18. K. Yano, *On semi-symmetric metric connection*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. **15** (1970), 1579–1586.

UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST, ACADEMIEI 14, 010014 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA
Email address: adela_mihai@fmi.unibuc.ro

UNIVERSITY OF BALIKESIR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 10145, CAGIS,
 BALIKESIR, TURKEY
Email address: cozgur@balikesir.edu.tr